Purity calculation method for event samples with two the same particles

Valentin Kuzmin

Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia

October 31, 2016

arXiv:1610.09862v1 [hep-ex] 31 Oct 2016

Abstract

We present a method of the two dimensional background calculation for an analysis of events with two the same particles observing by a detector of high energy physics. Usual two dimensional integration is replaced by an approximation of a specially constructed one-dimensional function. The value of the signal events is found by the subtraction of the background from the value of all selected events. It allows to calculate the purity value of the selected events sample. The procedure does not require a hypothesis about background and signal shapes. The nice performance of the purity calculation method is shown on Monte Carlo examples of double J/ψ samples.

1. Introduction

The extraction of two particles simultaneous production is commonly encountered problem in accelerator experiments. The study of such processes is complicated by the fact that the inclusive cross section of the double production is lower than the single production of the same particle. For example, the cross section of double J/ψ [1] production is more than 3 orders less the cross-section of the single J/ψ production because of higher order of perturbative QCD O(α_s^4) and kinematic reasons due to production of bigger mass [2]. The opportunity to observe pairs with high invariant masses decreases exponentially and on the pair mass. Usually in the experiment the selected pair sample have a contamination by events which origin is a combinatorial background, e.g. random track combinations used for the particle reconstruction. We differ 2 types of events selection: one-dimensional (1D) and two-dimensional (2D) cases when we require one and two the same particles per event. If we fit by the Gaussian distribution an 1D signal and need for reliable result the statistics of n events then in the 2D case one needs for the same fit quality of the signal to have bigger statistics. The task is complicated by the fact that the signal shape is not the Gaussian because the accuracy of the detector reaction depends on the particle kinematics. The additional uncertainty is the background hypothesis which is much harder to build for the two-dimensional case. Below on the example of the selection of events with paired J/ψ, we describe the method of the purity calculation of double particles events in a final sample.

2. Pair selection

To do not use an abstract description of the method we show it on the example of the J/ψ pair selection by high energy physics detectors. Usually they search decays of J/ψ in two muons of opposite charges (J/$\psi \rightarrow \mu_1 + \mu_2$) [3]- [6]. Both muon are from the same vertex. The invariant mass of the muon pair is $M_{J/\psi}$. The distribution of the value $M_{J/\psi}$ we describe by the probability distribution function (PDF)

$$f_{1D}(M_{J/\psi}). \tag{1}$$

This function in the $2-5\,Gev/c^2$ mass interval looks like the distribution in Fig.1. We constructed the function in Fig.1 to be like it is in experiments [3]- [6]. We see J/ψ and $\psi(2S)$ peaks over the combinatorial background created by random combinations of muons. The shapes of signals are close to the Gaussian which can be deformed by detector properties. In Fig.1 signals are constructed of 2 Gaussian functions: base with the mean at the PDG value [1] of the particle mass and additional one with the mean value on left of the particle mass. Under the additional Gaussian is a few percents of all signal events. To describe the background we use a polynomial of third order. In our study we use the distribution in Fig.1 varying the proportion of *signal/background* to simulate artificial single J/ψ events in our virtual detector. We select J/ψ candidates as events with the invariant mass in the mass window :

$$M_1 < M_{J/\psi} < M_2. \tag{2}$$



Figure 1: 1D probability distribution function (1).

If in some events the detector select simultaneously 2 J/ψ candidates they invariant mass distributions are independent because we do not differ which particle is first and which is second. The PDF for events with double J/ψ candidates is

$$F_{2D}(M_{,J/\psi_1}, M_{,J/\psi_2}) = f_{1D}(M_{,J/\psi_1}) f_{1D}(M_{,J/\psi_2}) \tag{3}$$

We use the purity definition of the sample with J/ψ candidates:

$$P = \frac{signal}{signal + background}. \tag{4}$$

In Fig.2 we show 2 examples of the registration by our detector of double J/ψ events. Events were simulated using (3). The cases differ by the signal amplitude around the J/ψ position and by the background level. For a real detector these 2 examples correspond to 2 different events selections: loose (we do not suppress strongly the combinatorial background) and tight (we suppress the background and simultaneously decrease the signal).

The purity of events in Fig.2a is 25% when the same parameter for the sample in Fig.2b is 35%. By eye we can to assume an opposite situation. We need to know the excess of double particles events inside the mass window not using any hypotheses about signal and background shapes.

3. Purity of double J/ψ sample

We select events when both muon pairs have the invariant mass inside a mass window around of the J/ψ peak. Such events are of 3 types: RR) (real J/ψ + real J/ψ), RF) (real J/ψ + fake J/ψ) and FF) (fake J/ψ + fake J/ψ). Fake J/ψ come from the combinatorial background. In Fig.2a we see two perpendicular "mountain ranges" along the J/ψ mass. They corresponds to background events with one real J/ψ particle and a random muon track combination. In Fig.2b we do not see so evidently such phenomenon. The peak in Fig.2a can be and due to the superposition of "mountain ranges". In fact, about the form of a two-dimensional background in



Figure 2: The scatter plot of J/ψ pairs reconstructed masses. They are implementations for the mass window $2.85 < M_{J/\psi} < 3.3GeV$ and average values: a) 200 signal events of all 800 events. b) 70 signal events of all 200 events.

Fig.2, we know only that it is a function, symmetric about the axis $M_{J/\psi_1} = M_{J/\psi_2}$. Everything else can only be our assumptions with unknown accuracy.

Let us build the distribution of invariant masses in double particles events when the invariant mass of one candidate is inside the mass window MW_1 (2) which we use for double J/ψ selection and the invariant mass of the second candidate is outside the mass window. An example of the distribution is in Fig.3. The distribution defines the function $f_{jf-ff}(M)$ for double particles events with one candidate selected in the mass window MW_1. The function $f_{jf-ff}(M)$ outside the mass window is proportional to the 1D PDF (1) because it is the sum of independent subsequences of the random value $M_{J/\psi}$

$$f_{jf-ff}(M) = 2\int_{WM_1} f_{1D}(m)dm\, f_{1D}(M). \tag{5}$$

Using (5) we build the function

$$N_{jf-ff}(M) = \int_{WM_2} f_{jf-ff}(m)dm, \tag{6}$$

where WM_2 is an arbitrary mass interval (see Fig.3) and M its mean value. In practice, it is the sum of events in the interval WM_2. If we take M far enough from the J/ψ peak the function $N_{jf-ff}(M)$ provides double number of events of types RF and FF inside the square $[WM_1, WM_{2]}]$. Two function $N_{jf-ff}(M)$ are shown by points in Fig.4. Excluding the peak region and replacing over there the function $N_{jf-ff}(M)$ by a fit of its tails we get the function $n_{jf-ff}(x)$ shown in Fig.3 by the dashed line. Taking in account that the number of random muon pairs depends on the mass continuously the value of the function $n_{jf-ff}(M)$ in the mean point of WM_1 is double number of fake J/ψ pairs of the 1D projection of all double J/ψ candidates inside the 2D mass window WM_1. We use a polynomial to fit tails of $N_{jf-ff}(M)$. The polynomial is of the degree producing the best χ^2/ndf value. If N_{2D}^{all} is the

number of candidates inside the 2D mass window WM_1 the purity of double J/ψ sample in the 2D window can be calculated by the formula:

$$P_{2D} = 1 - \left(\frac{n_{jf-ff}(\overline{M}_{J/\psi})}{2N_{2D}^{all}} \right)^2 \tag{7}$$



Figure 3: J/ψ candidate masses in 2D sample. One candidate has the mass inside the MW_1 mass window, other outside it. MW_2 is an arbitrary interval of the length equal to the length of WM_1. X_1 and X_2 are center masses of MW_1 and MW_2.



Figure 4: $N_{jf-ff}(M)$ and n_{jf-ff} functions. The function $N_{jf-ff}(M)$ is shown by points.The function $n_{jf-ff}(M)$ is the dashed line. The horizontal line height is the number of selected J/ψ candidates in the 2D window. The vertical line shows the mean value of the invariant mass in MW_1. a) and b) correspond to selection cases in Fig.2 and mass window $2.85 < M_{J/\psi} < 3.3\,GeV/c^2$.

The shape of the 2D mass window in used examples is a square. The described above method allows to calculate the purity of 2D samples and at the selection when the 2D mass window is a circle. One needs only at the calculation of the function $f_{jf-ff}(M)$ to use the weight w and the WM_1 mass window of the $2R_{MW}$ size around of the J/ψ mass. R_{MW} is the radius of the circle mass window using to select J/ψ. The weight w is

$$w = \frac{\sqrt{R_{MW}^2 - (m_{J/\psi} - M_{J/\psi})^2}}{R_{MW}} \tag{8}$$

where $m_{J/\psi}$ is the J/ψ mass [1] and $M_{J/\psi}$ is the mass of the J/ψ candidate which is inside the WM_1 mass window .

4. Monte-Carlo checks of the method

The contamination of a J/ψ pairs sample increases as the square of the mass window size because of the smooth background. The signal grows much lower on the same parameter because of the signal peak. It means that the ratio *signal/background* depends stronger on the size of the mass window comparing with a single particle selection. The knowledge of the sample purity can help to find the Golden mean between the minimal background and sufficient to study signal. To check results of the previous section we performed MC studies using a generic simulation of the detector response. Using (1) we generate the statistics (˜1000) of 2D MC samples defined by the mean purity and by the event number inside the base mass window $2.85 < M_{J/\psi} < 3.3\,GeV/c^2$. Simulations are in the range of $1.5 < M_{J/\psi} < 5\,GeV/c^2$. To each sample the described above method was applied. Purities and signal values found for two selections: *a*) and *b*) in Fig.2 and different mass windows are in Table 1. We give average and root mean square (RMS) values. The data confirm the sensitivity of the offered method to purity change and show nice agreement between MC and reconstructed values.

Table 1: Purity of double J/ψ samples at different selection.

variant	window, GeV/c^2	purity, %		signal, *events*	
		MC	our method	MC	our method
a	$2.85 - 3.3$	24.9 ± 1.6	24.0 ± 3.1	199 ± 16	193 ± 29
	$2.95 - 3.2$	39.9 ± 2.5	39.7 ± 3.0	159 ± 13	153 ± 26
b	$2.85 - 3.3$	36.6 ± 3.4	36.6 ± 4.3	70 ± 8	70 ± 13
	$2.95 - 3.2$	50.8 ± 4.8	51.8 ± 6.2	56 ± 8	57 ± 11

The comparison of our results with the traditional fitting of the sum: *signal+background* [6] is an important check of our method. In the simulation of the detector reaction we use the signal specified by 6 parameters (parameters of 2 Gaussians) and the background given by 4 parameters of the polynomial. In the inverse task we leave only 2 free parameters: signal and background values. Parameters defining their shapes we take from MC and fix them in the fit. It corresponds to the absolute knowledge about the detector reaction. The 2D fit is in the square of $2.3 < M_{J/\psi} < 3.9\,GeV/c^2$. As initial approach for the fit we use average values of MC sample.

In Table 2 there are reconstructed by both methods values for the mass window $2.85 < M_{J/\psi} < 3.3\,GeV/c^2$. As in Table 1 average and RMS values are given. In used MC examples different signal and background conditions were used that allows us to check the reliability of the purity calculation method in wide region. Data of the Table 2 show nice performance of the method offered in this study in all region of purities and signals. The 2D fit method which uses full information about signal and background shapes produces better dispersion at low purities. At high purities we do not observe the advantage of the 2D fit method moreover it produces strong systematic shift decreasing real values of the signal. The investigation of the reason of the phenomenon is out of this study. We only can say that at high purities the mean value of χ^2 divided by the number of degrees of freedom is significantly less than one. In contrast to the 2D method offered in this study purity calculation method does not need a hypothesis about background and signal shapes to calculate the purity of a 2D sample.

Table 2: Purity of di-J/ψ samples.

variant	purity, %			signal, *events*		
	MC	2D fit	our method	MC	2D fit	our method
a	5.1 ± 0.8	4.8 ± 0.6	5.1 ± 1.8	41 ± 7	39 ± 5	42 ± 15
	15.0 ± 1.3	14.2 ± 1.0	14.9 ± 2.7	122 ± 12	119 ± 10	120 ± 24
	30.0 ± 1.7	30.6 ± 1.6	29.3 ± 3.3	242 ± 16	245 ± 18	236 ± 32
	50.1 ± 1.8	51.9 ± 1.7	48.3 ± 2.0	403 ± 20	417 ± 25	390 ± 28
	75.1 ± 1.5	68.4 ± 6.2	74.1 ± 1.4	600 ± 23	547 ± 54	592 ± 27
	95.0 ± 0.1	90.8 ± 4.0	95.2 ± 0.1	759 ± 18	723 ± 51	759 ± 18
b	5.0 ± 0.2	5.5 ± 1.5	4.9 ± 2.5	11 ± 3	11 ± 3	10 ± 6
	15.0 ± 2.5	16.1 ± 2.5	14.4 ± 3.9	31 ± 6	32 ± 6	30 ± 10
	30.0 ± 3.3	26.6 ± 3.0	29.3 ± 4.5	61 ± 8	53 ± 8	59 ± 13
	50.1 ± 3.5	33.4 ± 3.4	50.1 ± 3.8	101 ± 10	67 ± 10	101 ± 14
	74.9 ± 3.0	63.9 ± 5.2	75.7 ± 2.5	150 ± 11	128 ± 15	151 ± 14
	95.0 ± 1.6	81.7 ± 4.0	95.9 ± 1.0	188 ± 7	163 ± 12	189 ± 8

5. Conclusion

We presented a method of the two dimensional background calculation for the analysis of events with 2 the same particles observing by detectors of high energy colliders and described its algorithm . Usual two dimensional integration is replaced by an approximation of a specially constructed one-dimensional function. The value of the signal events is found by the subtraction of the background from the value of all selected events. It allows to calculate the purity value of the selected events sample. The procedure does not need of a hypothesis about shapes of background and signal events. The nice performance of the purity calculation method is shown on generic Monte Carlo examples of double J/ψ samples. It was shown that the 2D fit of the signal like 2D Gaussian by known shape functions of the signal and background can produce a significant systematic shift and for this reason it is important to check result by the offered method.

References

[1] C. Patrignani et al. (Particle Data Group), Chin. Phys. C, 40, 100001 (2016).

[2] A. V. Berezhnoy et al., Phys.Rev. D**84**, 094023 (2011) .

[3] S. Chatrchyan et al. (CMS Collaboration) JHEP 1202 (2012) 011.

[4] R Aaij et al. (LHCb Collaboration) JHEP 1402 (2014) 072.

[5] B. B. Abelev et al. (ALICE Collaboration) JHEP 1402 (2014) 073.

[6] V. M. Abazov et al. (D0 Collaboration) Phys. Rev. D 90, 111101(R) (2014).